

# CONSTRUCTIBILITY LEVEL EQUIVALENCE RELATION

WILLIAM CHAN

ABSTRACT. Assume  $\text{ZF} + \omega_2 = (\omega_2)^L$ . Define an equivalence relation  $E_L$  on  $\omega_2$  by  $x E_L y$  if and only if for all admissible levels  $L_\alpha$  of Gödel's constructible hierarchy,  $x \in L_\alpha$  if and only if  $y \in L_\alpha$ .  $E_L$  is a thin  $\Delta_2^1$  equivalence relation which is not  $\Pi_1^1$ .  $E_L$  has the property that for all  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets  $B$ ,  $[B]_{E_L} = \{y \in \omega_2 : (\exists x \in B)(y E_L x)\}$  is either a countable or a co-countable set. There is no coloring  $c : \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega$  of  $E_L$  whose graph is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .

## 1. CONSTRUCTIBILITY LEVEL EQUIVALENCE RELATION

Assume  $\text{ZF} + \omega_2 = (\omega_2)^L$ , that is, all reals belong to Gödel's constructible universe  $L$ . The following equivalence relation is studied by the author in [1] Section 9.

**Definition 1.1.** Let KP denote Kripke-Platek set theory. If  $x \in \omega_2$ , then let  $\iota(x)$  be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  so that  $L_\alpha \models \text{KP}$  and  $x \in L_\alpha$ . (Any sufficiently strong fragment of ZFC such as  $\text{ZFC} - \text{P}$  would suffice in place of KP.) Define an equivalence relation  $E_L$  on  $\omega_2$  by  $x E_L y$  if and only if  $\iota(x) = \iota(y)$ .

This short note will collect some facts about  $E_L$  which answers some questions of Pikhurko and Tserunyan.  $E_L$  is a  $\Pi_2^1$  equivalence relation and using an idea of Drucker [2],  $E_L$  is also  $\Sigma_2^1$  and hence  $\Delta_2^1$ .

**Fact 1.2.**  $E_L$  is a  $\Delta_2^1$  equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Recall that a  $\Sigma_2^1$  subset of  $\omega_2$  is equivalently a set of reals which is  $\Sigma_1$  definable over  $H_{\aleph_1}$ , the collection hereditarily countable sets.

Let  $\psi(x, y)$  be the formula which assert that for all transitive set  $A$ , if  $A \models \text{KP} + V = L$ , then  $x \in A$  if and only if  $y \in A$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is a  $\Pi_1$  formula in the language of set theory.  $x E_L y$  if and only if  $H_{\aleph_1} \models \psi(x, y)$ .  $E_L$  is  $\Pi_1$  definable in  $H_{\aleph_1}$  and thus is  $\Pi_2^1$ .

Also  $x E_L y$  if and only if  $H_{\aleph_1} \models$  there is a transitive set  $M$  with  $x, y \in M$ ,  $M \models \text{KP} + V = L$ , and  $M \models \psi(x, y)$ . Since first order satisfaction is  $\Delta_1$  in the language of set theory,  $E_L$  is  $\Sigma_1$  definable in  $H_{\aleph_1}$  and hence  $\Sigma_2^1$ .  $\square$

**Fact 1.3.**  $E_L$  has all classes countable and has uncountably many classes.

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \omega_2$ ,  $[x]_{E_L} \subseteq L_{\iota(x)}$ . Since  $|L_{\iota(x)}| \leq \aleph_0$ ,  $[x]_{E_L} \leq \aleph_0$ . Since  $E_L$  has all classes countable and  $\omega_2$  is uncountable,  $E_L$  must have uncountably many classes.  $\square$

The following is the main tool for studying  $E_L$ . A perfect tree  $p$  on 2 is a subset of  ${}^{<\omega}2$  so that for all  $t \in p$  if  $s \subseteq t$  then  $s \in p$  and for all  $s \in p$ , there is a  $t \in p$  so that  $t \hat{0}, t \hat{1} \in p$ . A  $t \in p$  so that  $t \hat{0}, t \hat{1} \in p$  is called a split node of  $p$ .

If  $p$  is a perfect tree on 2, let  $\Upsilon_p : {}^{<\omega}2 \rightarrow p$  be defined by recursion as follows: Let  $\Upsilon_p(\emptyset)$  be the shortest split node of  $p$ . If  $\Upsilon_p(s)$  has been defined, then let  $\Upsilon_p(s \hat{i})$  be the shortest split node of  $p$  extending  $\Upsilon_p(s) \hat{i}$ . Let  $\Xi_p : \omega_2 \rightarrow [p]$  be defined by  $\Xi_p(f) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \Upsilon_p(f \upharpoonright n)$ .  $\Xi_p$  is the canonical homeomorphism between  $\omega_2$  and  $[p]$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** For any perfect tree  $p$  on 2, there is a  $\zeta < \omega_1$  so that for all  $x \in \omega_2$  with  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ ,  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ .

*Proof.* The set  $\{x \in \omega_2 : \iota(\Xi_p(x)) < \iota(p)\}$  is countable. Let  $\zeta' = \sup\{\iota(x) + 1 : \iota(\Xi_p(x)) < \iota(p)\}$ . Let  $\zeta = \max\{\iota(p), \zeta'\}$ . Now suppose  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ . Since  $p, x \in L_{\iota(x)}$  and  $L_{\iota(x)} \models \text{KP}$ ,  $\Xi_p(x) \in L_{\iota(x)}$ . Thus  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) \leq \iota(x)$ . Since  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ ,  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) \geq \iota(p)$ . Thus  $p, \Xi_p(x) \in L_{\iota(\Xi_p(x))}$ . Since  $L_{\iota(\Xi_p(x))} \models \text{KP}$ , one

can recover  $x = \Xi_p^{-1}(\Xi_p(x))$  within  $L_{\iota(\Xi_p(x))}$  by using  $\Xi_p(x)$  and the tree  $p$ . Thus  $\iota(x) \leq \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . So  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $p$  be a perfect tree on 2. There is a  $\zeta < \omega_1$  so that for all  $\xi \geq \zeta$ , there exist countably infinite many  $z \in [p]$  so that  $\iota(z) = \xi$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.4, let  $\zeta < \omega_1$  be such that for all  $x \in \omega_2$ , if  $\iota(x) \geq \zeta$ , then  $\iota(x) = \iota(\Xi_p(x))$ . Let  $\xi \geq \zeta$  and let  $A = \{x \in \omega_2 : \iota(x) = \xi\}$  which is a countably infinite set. Since  $\Xi_p$  is an injection, if  $x \neq y$ , then  $\Xi_p(x) \neq \Xi_p(y)$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $\Xi_p(x) \in [p]$  and  $\iota(\Xi_p(x)) = \iota(x) = \xi$ .  $\square$

An equivalence relation  $E$  on  $\omega_2$  is thin if and only if there is no perfect tree  $p$  on 2 so that for all  $x, y \in [p]$  with  $x \neq y$ ,  $\neg(x E y)$ . The following is a different argument from [1] showing that  $E_L$  is thin.

**Fact 1.6.** ([1] Proposition 9.7)  $E_L$  is a thin equivalence relation.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.5, every perfect tree  $p$  has some  $z_0, z_1 \in [p]$  so that  $z_0 \neq z_1$  and  $\iota(z_0) = \iota(z_1)$ . Thus  $z_0 E_L z_1$ .  $\square$

**Fact 1.7.**  $E_L$  is not  $\Pi_1^1$ .

*Proof.* Since Fact 1.3 implies  $E_L$  has uncountable many classes, if  $E_L$  was  $\Pi_1^1$ , then Silver's dichotomy ([3] and [4]) implies that  $E_L$  is not thin. This is impossible since Fact 1.6 asserts that  $E_L$  is thin.  $\square$

**Fact 1.8.** *If  $B \subseteq \omega_2$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then  $[B]_{E_L} = \{y \in \omega_2 : (\exists x \in B)(y E_L x)\}$  is either countable or co-countable.*

*Proof.* If  $B$  is countable, then the set  $K = \{\iota(x) : x \in B\}$  is countable. So  $[B]_{E_L} \subseteq L_{\sup(K)}$ .  $[B]_{E_L}$  is countable. Suppose  $B$  is not countable. By the perfect set property for  $\Sigma_1^1$ , there is a perfect tree  $p$  on 2 so that  $[p] \subseteq B$ . By Lemma 1.5, there is a  $\zeta$  so that for all  $\xi \geq \zeta$ , there exists a  $z \in [p]$  with  $\iota(z) = \xi$ . Let  $H = \{x \in \omega_2 : \iota(x) \geq \zeta\}$  which is a co-countable set and observe that  $[B]_{E_L} \supseteq [[p]]_{E_L} \supseteq H$ .  $[B]_{E_L}$  is co-countable.  $\square$

Pikhurko asked whether an equivalence relation  $E$  with all classes countable and has the property that for all  $\Delta_1^1$  sets  $B$ ,  $[B]_E$  is  $\Delta_1^1$  must be a  $\Delta_1^1$  equivalence relation. The results above show that it is consistent with ZF that the answer is no.

A relation  $G \subseteq \omega_2 \times \omega_2$  is a graph if and only if for all  $x, y \in \omega_2$ ,  $\neg(x G x)$  and  $x G y$  implies  $y G x$ . If  $A \subseteq \omega_2$ , let  $N_G(A) = \{x \in \omega_2 : (\exists y \in A)(x G y)\}$  and  $N_G^{\leq 1}(A) = A \cup N_G(A)$ . The graph  $G$  is locally countable if and only if  $|N_G(\{x\})| \leq \aleph_0$  for all  $x \in \omega_2$ . If  $E$  is an equivalence relation on  $\omega_2$ , then the graph  $G_E$  associated to  $E$  is defined on  $\omega_2$  by  $x G_E y$  if and only if  $x \neq y \wedge x E y$ . Note that if  $E$  is an equivalence relation, then for any  $A \subseteq \omega_2$ ,  $N_G^{\leq 1}(A) = [A]_E$ . However,  $N_G(A)$  may not be  $[A]_E$ . For example, if  $x \in A$  and  $[x]_E \cap A = \{x\}$ , then  $x \notin N_G(A)$ .

Pikhurko asked if a locally countable graph has the property for all  $\Delta_1^1$   $B \subseteq \omega_2$ ,  $N_G^{\leq 1}(B)$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ , then is  $G$  a  $\Delta_1^1$  graph. Since  $E_L$  is not  $\Pi_1^1$ ,  $G_{E_L}$  is not  $\Pi_1^1$ . Thus the above result implies that it is consistent with ZF that the answer is no. He also asked if  $G$  is a locally countable graph with the property that for all  $\Delta_1^1$   $B \subseteq \omega_2$ ,  $N_G(A)$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ , then is  $G$  a  $\Delta_1^1$  graph. Using the next result, the answer being no is consistent with ZF.

**Fact 1.9.** *If  $B \subseteq \omega_2$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ , then  $N_{G_L}(B)$  is countable or co-countable.*

*Proof.* If  $B$  is countable, then  $N_G(B) \subseteq N_G^{\leq 1}(B) = [B]_{E_L}$  which is countable as noted in Fact 1.8. Suppose  $B$  is uncountable. By the perfect set property for  $\Sigma_1^1$ , there is a perfect tree  $p$  on 2 so that  $[p] \subseteq B$ . By Lemma 1.5, there is a  $\zeta < \omega_1$  so that for all  $\xi \geq \zeta$ , there exists at least two elements  $z_0 \in [p]$  and  $z_1 \in [p]$  so that  $\iota(z_0) = \iota(z_1) = \xi$ . Fix a  $\xi \geq \zeta$  and let  $z_0, z_1 \in [p]$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$  and  $\iota(z_0) = \iota(z_1) = \xi$ . Then  $N_G(\{z_0\}) = \{x \in \omega_2 : x \neq z_0 \wedge \iota(x) = \xi\}$  and  $N_G(\{z_1\}) = \{x \in \omega_2 : x \neq z_1 \wedge \iota(x) = \xi\}$ . So  $\{x \in \omega_2 : \iota(x) = \xi\} = N_G(\{z_0\}) \cup N_G(\{z_1\}) \subseteq N_G(B)$ . Let  $H = \{x \in \omega_2 : \iota(x) \geq \zeta\}$  which is co-countable. Then  $H \subseteq N_G(B)$  and so  $N_G(B)$  is co-countable.  $\square$

A coloring of a graph  $G$  is a map  $c : \omega_2 \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is some Polish space, so that for all  $x, y \in \omega_2$ , if  $x G y$ , then  $c(x) \neq c(y)$ . Tserunyan asked if  $G_{E_L}$  has a  $\Delta_1^1$  coloring  $c : \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega$ . The following result shows that the answer is no.

**Fact 1.10.** *There is no coloring  $c : {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow \omega$  of  $E_L$  so that graph of  $c$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  ${}^\omega 2 = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} c^{-1}[\{n\}]$ , there is an  $n \in \omega$  so that  $c^{-1}[\{n\}]$  is uncountable. Since the graph of  $c$  is  $\Sigma_1^1$ ,  $c^{-1}[\{n\}]$  is an uncountable  $\Sigma_1^1$  set. By the perfect set property for  $\Sigma_1^1$ , there is a perfect tree  $p$  on 2 so that  $[p] \subseteq c^{-1}[\{n\}]$ . By Lemma 1.5, there exist  $z_0, z_1 \in [p]$  with  $z_0 \neq z_1$  and  $\iota(z_0) = \iota(z_1)$ . Hence  $z_0 \mathop{G_{E_L}} z_1$  and  $c(z_0) = n = c(z_1)$  which contradict  $c$  being a coloring.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. William Chan, *Equivalence relations which are Borel somewhere*, J. Symb. Log. **82** (2017), no. 3, 893–930. MR 3694334
2. Ohad Drucker, *Borel canonization of analytic sets with Borel sections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 7, 3073–3084. MR 3787368
3. Leo Harrington, *A powerless proof of a theorem of Silver*, Unpublished.
4. Jack H. Silver, *Counting the number of equivalence classes of Borel and coanalytic equivalence relations*, Ann. Math. Logic **18** (1980), no. 1, 1–28. MR 568914

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PA 15213  
Email address: `wchan3@andrew.cmu.edu`